SS IEC 62264-5: 2019 IEC 62264-5:2016 IDT

(ICS 25.040.99; 35.100; 35.200)

SINGAPORE STANDARD

Enterprise-control system integration

– Part 5 : Business to manufacturing transactions





IEC 62264-5:2016, IDT (ICS 25.040.99; 35.100; 35.200)

SINGAPORE STANDARD

Enterprise-control system integration

- Part 5 : Business to manufacturing transactions

Published by Enterprise Singapore

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, no part of this Singapore Standard may be reproduced or utilised in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying and microfilming, without permission in writing from Enterprise Singapore. Request for permission can be sent to: standards@enterprisesg.gov.sg.

© IEC 2016 - All rights reserved

© Enterprise Singapore 2019

ISBN 978-981-48-9424-1

The content of this Singapore Standard was approved on 17 October 2019 by the Manufacturing Standards Committee (MSC) under the purview of the Singapore Standards Council.

First published, 2019

MSC consists of the following members:

		Name	Representation
Chairman	:	Dr John Yong	Individual Capacity
Deputy Chairman	:	Mr Brandon Lee	Individual Capacity
Secretary	:	Mr Lee Wei Guo	Singapore Manufacturing Federation – Standards Development Organisation
Members	:	Ms Fong Pin Fen Mr Goh Wee Hong Mr Ho Chi Bao Mr Steven Koh Ms Lee Wan Sie Dr Jim Li Hui Hong Dr Lim Ee Meng	Science Engineering Research Council Economic Development Board TÜV SÜD PSB Pte Ltd Enterprise Singapore Singapore Precision Engineering Technology Association Infocomm Media Development Authority Individual Capacity National Metrology Centre The Institution of Engineers Singapore
		Er. Prof Seeram Ramakrishna Mr Sze Thiam Siong	The Institution of Engineers, Singapore Setsco Services Pte Ltd

MSC sets up the Technical Committee on Smart Manufacturing to oversee the preparation of this standard. The Technical Committee consists of the following members:

		Name	Representation
Co-Chairmen	:	Mr Yeoh Pit Wee Dr Tan Puay Siew	Individual Capacity Individual Capacity
Secretary	:	Mr Louis Lauw	Singapore Manufacturing Federation – Standards Development Organisation
Members	:	Mr Ang Wee Seng Dr Ian Chan Hian Leng Mr Cheong Siah Chong Mr David Chia Dr Andreas Hauser Mr Sunny Khoo Mr Brandon Lee Prof Lee Loo Hay Mr Zach Lee Mr Gerry Ong Prof John Pang	Singapore Semiconductor Industry Association Singapore Institute of Manufacturing Technology Singapore Industrial Automation Association Beckhoff Automation Pte Ltd TÜV SÜD Asia Pacific Pte Ltd Toshiba TEC Singapore Pte Ltd Singapore Manufacturing Federation National University of Singapore Siemens Industry Software Pte Ltd SMT Technology Pte Ltd Nanyang Technological University

Members : Er. Prof Seeram Ramakrishna The Institution of Engineers, Singapore

Mr Sim Bak Chor Infocomm Media Development Authority

Mr Tian Boon Quey TRUMPF Pte Ltd
Mr Toh Hong Wee PBA Systems Pte Ltd

Dr Carlos Toro Advanced Remanufacturing Technology Centre

The Technical Committee sets up the Working Group on Smart Manufacturing Readiness Level to prepare this standard. The Working Group consists of the following experts who contribute in their individual capacity:

Name

Co-Convenors : Mr Brandon Lee

Mr Shridhar Ravikumar

Secretary : Mr Louis Lauw

Members : Dr Ian Chan Hian Leng

Mr Cheong Siah Chong

Mr David Chia Dr Andreas Hauser Mr Michael Leong

Dr Lin Wei Dr Gary Ng Prof John Pang Dr Tan Puay Siew Mr Yeoh Pit Wee

The organisations in which the experts of the Working Group are involved are:

Advanced Remanufacturing Technology Centre

Beckhoff Automation Pte Ltd

INTECH Process Automation Pte Ltd

Nanyang Technological University

Rockwell Automation Southeast Asia Pte Ltd

SESTO Robotics Pte Ltd

Singapore Industrial Automation Association

Singapore Institute of Manufacturing Technology

TÜV SÜD Asia Pacific Pte Ltd

(Blank page)

CONTENTS

N	ATIONA	L FOREWORD	.14
FC	DREWO	RD	. 15
IN	TRODU	CTION	. 17
1	Scop	e	. 18
2	Norm	ative references	. 18
3	Term	s, definitions, abbreviations, and conventions	. 19
_	3.1	Terms and definitions	
	3.2	Abbreviations	
	3.3	Conventions	
4		saction messages and verbs	
	4.1	General	
	4.2	Transaction models	
	4.3	Message structure	
	4.3.1	General structure	
	4.3.2	Application identification area	. 23
	4.3.3	Data area	. 23
	4.3.4	Message nouns	. 24
	4.3.5	Wildcard	. 24
5	Mess	age verbs	. 25
	5.1	Verbs and transaction models	. 25
	5.2	GET verb	. 27
	5.3	SHOW verb	. 28
	5.4	PROCESS verb	. 28
	5.5	ACKNOWLEDGE verb	. 29
	5.6	CHANGE verb	. 30
	5.7	CANCEL verb	
	5.8	CONFIRM verb	
	5.9	RESPOND verb	
	5.10	SYNC verb	
	5.11	SYNC ADD verb	
	5.12	SYNC CHANGE verb	
	5.13	SYNC DELETE verb	
_	5.14	Verb actions and the use of IDs	
6		age nouns	
	6.1	General	
	6.2	Defined message contents	
	6.2.1	Equipment	
	6.2.2	Equipment Capability Test Specification	
	6.2.3	Equipment Class	
	6.2.4	Job List	
	6.2.5	Job Response	
	6.2.6	Job Response List	
	6.2.7	Material Class	. 36

6.2.8	Material Definition	36
6.2.9	Material Lot	36
6.2.10	Material Sublot	37
6.2.11	Material Test Specification	37
6.2.12	Operations Capability	37
6.2.13	Operations Definition	37
6.2.14	Operations Schedule	38
6.2.15	Operations Performance	
6.2.16	Person	
6.2.17	Personnel Class	38
6.2.18	Physical Asset	39
6.2.19	Physical Asset Class	39
6.2.20	Physical Asset Capability Test Specification	39
6.2.21	Process Segment	39
6.2.22	Resource Relationship Network	39
6.2.23	Resource Relationship Network Connection Type	40
6.2.24	Qualification Test Specification	
6.2.25	Transaction Profile	40
6.2.26	Work Alert Definition	40
6.2.27	Work Alert	40
6.2.28	Work Calendar Definition	40
6.2.29	Work Calendar	40
6.2.30	Work Capability	40
6.2.31	Work Directive	41
6.2.32	Work Master	41
6.2.33	Work Performance	41
6.2.34	Work Record	42
6.2.35	Work Schedule	42
6.2.36	Workflow Specification	42
6.2.37	Workflow Specification Type	42
6.2.38	Production specific models	43
6.3 Pe	rsonnel model	44
6.3.1	Personnel model elements	44
6.3.2	Personnel Class verbs	45
6.3.3	Personnel Class verb actions	45
6.3.4	Person verbs	48
6.3.5	Person verb actions	48
6.3.6	Qualification Test Specification verbs	50
6.3.7	Qualification Test Specification verb actions	50
6.4 Ro	le based equipment model	52
6.4.1	Role based equipment model elements	52
6.4.2	Equipment Class verbs	52
6.4.3	Equipment Class verb actions	52
6.4.4	Equipment verbs	55
6.4.5	Equipment verb actions	55
6.4.6	Equipment Capability Test Specification verbs	

6.4.7	Equipment Capability Test Specification verb actions	57
6.5	Physical Asset model	59
6.5.1	Physical Asset model elements	59
6.5.2	Physical Asset Class verbs	59
6.5.3	Physical Asset Class verb actions	59
6.5.4	Physical Asset verbs	62
6.5.5	Physical Asset verb actions	62
6.5.6	Physical Asset Capability Test Specification verbs	65
6.5.7	Physical Asset Capability Test Specification verb actions	65
6.6	Material model	67
6.6.1	Material model elements	67
6.6.2	Material Class verbs	67
6.6.3	Material Class verb actions	67
6.6.4	Material Definition verbs	70
6.6.5	Material Definition verb actions	70
6.6.6	Material Lot verbs	73
6.6.7	Material Lot verb actions	73
6.6.8	Material Sublot verbs	76
6.6.9	Material Sublot verb actions	76
6.6.10	Material Test Specification verbs	78
6.6.1	Material Test Specification verb actions	78
6.7	Process Segment model	80
6.7.1	Process Segment model elements	80
6.7.2	Process Segment verbs	80
6.7.3	Process Segment verb actions	80
6.8	Operations Capability model	81
6.8.1	Operations Capability model elements	
6.8.2	Operations Capability verbs	
6.8.3	Operations Capability verb actions	
6.9	Operations Definition model	
6.9.1	Operations Definition model elements	
6.9.2	Operations Definition verbs	
6.9.3	Operations Definition verb actions	
	Operations Schedule model	
6.10.1	·	
6.10.2	·	
6.10.3		
	Operations Performance model	
6.11.	·	
6.11.2	·	
6.11.3	·	
	Resource Relationship Network model	
6.12.	·	
6.12.2	·	
6.12.3		
6.12.4	·	

6.12.5	Resource Relationship Connection Type verb actions	95
6.13 Wo	rk Alerts	96
6.13.1	Work Alert model elements	96
6.13.2	Work Alert Definition verbs	97
6.13.3	Work Alert Definition actions	97
6.13.4	Work Alert verbs	99
6.13.5	Work Alert verb actions	99
6.14 Wo	rk Calendar	100
6.14.1	Work Calendar elements	100
6.14.2	Work Calendar Definition verbs	101
6.14.3	Work Calendar Definition actions	101
6.14.4	Work Calendar verbs	102
6.14.5	Work Calendar actions	102
6.15 Wo	rk Capability model	103
6.15.1	Work Capability model elements	103
6.15.2	Work Capability verbs	103
6.15.3	Work Capability verb actions	104
6.16 Wo	rk Definition model	106
6.16.1	Work Definition model elements	106
6.16.2	Work Master verbs	107
6.16.3	Work Master verb actions	107
6.16.4	Work Directive verbs	108
6.16.5	Work Directive verb actions	108
6.17 Wo	rk Record	109
6.17.1	Work Record elements	109
6.17.2	Work Record verbs	110
6.17.3	Work Record verb actions	110
6.18 Wo	rk Schedule model	111
6.18.1	Work Schedule elements	
6.18.2	Work Schedule verbs	112
6.18.3	Work Schedule verb actions	112
6.18.4	Job List verbs	
6.18.5	Job List verb actions	113
6.19 Wo	rk Performance model	115
6.19.1	Work Performance elements	
6.19.2	Work Performance verbs	115
6.19.3	Work Performance verb actions	
6.19.4	Job Response verbs	
6.19.5	Job Response verb actions	
6.19.6	Job Response List verbs	
6.19.7	Job Response List verb actions	
	rkflow Specification model	
6.20.1	Workflow Specification elements	
6.20.2	Workflow Specification verbs	
6.20.3	Workflow Specification verb actions	

	6.20.	.5	Workflow Specification Type verbs	121
	6.20.	.6	Workflow Specification Type verb actions	122
	6.21	Trar	nsaction Profile	122
7	Com	plete	ness, compliance and conformance	124
	7.1	Com	npleteness	124
	7.2	Con	npliance	124
	7.3	Con	formance	125
Ar	nex A ((infor	mative) Production operations transactions	128
	A.1	Prod	duct Definition model	128
	A.1.1	l	Product Definition model elements	128
	A.1.2	2	Product Definition verbs	128
	A.1.3	3	Product Definition verb actions	128
	A.2	Prod	duction Schedule model	129
	A.2.1	l	Production Schedule model elements	129
	A.2.2	2	Production Schedule verbs	130
	A.2.3	3	Production Schedule verb actions	130
	A.3	Prod	duction Performance model	132
	A.3.1	l	Production Performance model elements	132
	A.3.2	2	Production Performance verbs	133
	A.3.3	3	Production Performance verb actions	133
	A.4	Prod	duction Capability model	
	A.4.1		Production Capability model elements	
	A.4.2	2	Production Capability verbs	
	A.4.3	3	Production Capability verb actions	
Ar			mative) Transaction models and business scenario examples	
	B.1	Coo	rdinating activities	140
	B.2		ge scenariosg	
	B.3		rations Schedule and Operations Performance	
	B.3.1		Push model	
	B.3.2	-	Pull model	
	B.3.3	_	Publish model	
	B.4		rations Schedule changes	
	B.4.1	•	Push model	
	B 4 2		Publish model	
	B.5	_	rations Schedule cancelled	
	B.5.1	•	Push model	
	B.5.2	-	Push and pull model	
	B.6	_	y Operations Performance	
	B.6.1		Push model	
	B.6.2	-	Pull model	
	B.6.3		Publish model	
	В.7		erations Schedule based on Operations Capability	
	в. <i>т</i> В.7.1	-	Pull and push model	
	B.7.1	-	Publish and push model	
	B.8	_	erations Schedule changes	
		•	Push and pull model	
	B.8.1	I	rusii aiiu puii iiiuuti	14ช

B.8.2	Publish model	149
B.9	Material quantity changed	150
B.9.1	Push model	150
B.9.2	Publish and push model	150
B.9.3	B Push and pull model	151
Annex C	(informative) Questions on the use of transactions	152
C.1	IDs	152
C.2	Transactions	152
C.3	Rollbacks	
C.4	CONFIRM verb	
C.5	Two phase commit	
C.6	Confirm on GET	
C.7	General query	
C.8	Nouns	
C.9	CONFIRM on any verb	
	(informative) Patterns for verbs	
D.1	Patterns	
D.2	Actions for GET verb	
D.3	Actions for PROCESS verb	
D.4	Actions for CHANGE message	
D.5	Actions for CANCEL message	
D.6	Actions for SYNC message	
	(informative) General rules for identifying nouns from object models	
E.1	Patterns	
E.2	Hierarchical object model	
E.3	Non-hierarchical object model	
Bibliograp	Dhy	162
Figure 1	- Typical exchanged messages in a transaction	22
_		
•	- Typical exchanged data set	
•	- Typical layout of an application identification area	
•	- GET with wildcard and SHOW response	
Figure 5 -	- GET and SHOW transaction	. 28
Figure 6 -	- PROCESS/ACKNOWLEDGE transaction with an "acknowledge always" option	29
Figure 7 -	- Example of ACKNOWLEDGE to a PROCESS message	. 30
Figure 8 -	- CHANGE/RESPOND transaction with a "respond always" option	. 30
Figure 9 -	- CANCEL message	. 31
_	- GET and SHOW transaction with a "confirm always"	
•	Example of a GET message with "confirm OnError"	
•	- CONFIRM message	
_		
-	- SYNC ADD transaction with confirmation	
_	- SYNC DELETE transaction with no confirmation	
Figure 15	- Object grouping for the personnel model	. 45

Figure 16 – Object grouping for the role based equipment model	52
Figure 17 – Object grouping for the Physical Asset model	59
Figure 18 – Object grouping for the material model	67
Figure 19 – Object grouping for the Process Segment model	80
Figure 20 – Object grouping for the Operations Capability model	82
Figure 21 – Object grouping for the Operations Definition model	86
Figure 22 – Object grouping for the Operations Schedule model	88
Figure 23 – Object grouping for the Operations Performance model	91
Figure 24 – Object grouping for the Resource Relationship Network model	94
Figure 25 – Object grouping for the Work Alert model	97
Figure 26 – Object grouping for the Work Calendar model	101
Figure 27 – Object grouping for the Work Capability model	103
Figure 28 – Object grouping for the Work Definition model	107
Figure 29 – Object grouping for the Work Record model	110
Figure 30 – Object grouping for the Work Schedule model	112
Figure 31 – Object grouping for the Work Performance model	115
Figure 32 – Object grouping for the Workflow Specification model	120
Figure 33 – Transaction Profile model	123
Figure A.1 – Object grouping for the Product Definition model	128
Figure A.2 – Object grouping for the Production Schedule model	130
Figure A.3 – Object grouping for the Production Performance model	133
Figure A.4 – Object grouping for the Production Capability model	136
Figure B.1 – Coordinating planning and operations processes	140
Figure B.2 – Push model: Operations Schedule and Operations Performance	141
Figure B.3 – Pull model: Operations Schedule and Operations Performance	142
Figure B.4 – Publish model: Operations Schedule and Operations Performance	142
Figure B.5 – Push model: Operations Schedule changes	143
Figure B.6 – Publish model: With schedule changes	144
Figure B.7 – Push model: Operations Schedule cancelled	144
Figure B.8 – Push and pull model: Schedule cancelled	145
Figure B.9 – Push model: Daily Operations Performance	145
Figure B.10 – Pull model: Daily Operations Performance	146
Figure B.11 – Publish model: Daily Operations Schedule	146
Figure B.12 – Pull and push model: Operations Capability and Operations Schedule	147
Figure B.13 – Publish and push model: Operations Capability and Operations Schedule	148
Figure B.14 – Push and pull model: Schedule changes	149
Figure B.15 – Publish model: Schedule changes after capability changes	150
Figure B.16 – Push model: Material Lot added, Material Lot quantity changed	150
Figure B.17 – Publish and push model: Material quantity changes	151
Figure B 18 – Push and pull model: Material quantity changes	151

Figure E.1 – Object model with composite relationships	160
Figure E.2 – Example of multiple composite objects	161
Table 1 – Defined verbs	26
Table 2 – Acknowledge request options	29
Table 3 – Acknowledge element	29
Table 4 – Respond options	30
Table 5 – Confirmation request options	31
Table 6 – Respond element	33
Table 7 – Personnel Class verb actions	46
Table 8 – Person verb actions	48
Table 9 – Qualification Test Specification verb actions	51
Table 10 – Equipment Class verb actions	53
Table 11 – Equipment verb actions	55
Table 12 – Equipment Capability Test Specification verb actions	58
Table 13 – Physical Asset Class verb actions	60
Table 14 – Physical Asset verb actions	63
Table 15 – Physical Asset capability Test Specification verb actions	66
Table 16 – Material Class verb actions	68
Table 17 – Material Definition verb actions	71
Table 18 – Material Lot verb actions	73
Table 19 – Material Sublot verb actions	76
Table 20 – Material Test Specification verb actions	79
Table 21 – Process Segment verb actions	81
Table 22 – Operations Capability verb actions	83
Table 23 – Operations Capability element definitions for GET verb	84
Table 24 – Operations Definition verb actions	87
Table 25 – Operations Schedule verb actions	89
Table 26 – Operations Schedule element definitions for GET verb	90
Table 27 – Operations Performance verb actions	92
Table 28 – Operations Performance definitions for GET verb	93
Table 29 – Resource Relationship Network verb actions	95
Table 30 – Resource Relationship Connection Type verb actions	96
Table 31 – Work Alert Definition additional attributes	97
Table 32 – Work Alert Definition verb actions	98
Table 33 – Work Alert Definition element definitions for GET verb	99
Table 34 – Work Alert Definition additional attributes	99
Table 35 – Work Alert verb actions	99
Table 36 – Work Alert element definitions for GET verb	100
Table 37 – Work Calendar Definition verb actions	101
Table 38 – Work Calendar verb actions	102

Table 39 – Work Capability verb actions	104
Table 40 – Work Capability element definitions for GET verb	105
Table 41 – Work Master verb actions	108
Table 42 – Work Directive verb actions	109
Table 43 – Work Record verb actions	111
Table 44 – Work Schedule verb actions	113
Table 45 – Job List verb actions	114
Table 46 – Work Schedule and Job List element definitions for GET verb	114
Table 47 – Work Performance verb actions	116
Table 48 – Work Performance element definitions for GET verb	117
Table 49 – Job Response verb actions	117
Table 50 – Job response element definitions for GET verb	118
Table 51 – Job Response List verb actions	119
Table 52 – Job Response List element definitions for GET verb	120
Table 53 – Workflow Specification verb actions	121
Table 54 – Workflow Specification Type verb actions	122
Table 55 – Attributes of Transaction Profile	123
Table 56 – Attributes of Supported Action	124
Table 57 – Transaction Profile verb actions	124
Table 58 – Supported verb-noun actions	126
Table 59 – Vendor conformance example	127
Table A.1 – Product Definition verb actions	129
Table A.2 – Production Schedule verb actions	131
Table A.3 – Production Schedule element definitions for GET verb	132
Table A.4 – Production Performance verb actions	134
Table A.5 – Production Performance definitions for GET verb	135
Table A.6 – Production Capability verb actions	137
Table A.7 – Production Capability element definitions for GET verb	138
Table D.1 – GET message with Object ID specified	154
Table D.2 – GET message with wildcard in Object ID	155
Table D.3 – GET message with no Object ID specified	155
Table D.4 – PROCESS message with Object ID specified	155
Table D.5 – PROCESS message with no Object ID	156
Table D.6 – CHANGE message with Object ID	156
Table D.7 – CHANGE message with wildcard Object ID	156
Table D.8 – CANCEL message with Object ID	157
Table D.9 – CANCEL message with wildcard in Object ID	157
Table D.10 – SYNC message with Object ID	158
Table D 11 – SYNC message with wildcard in Object ID	158

National Foreword

This Singapore Standard was prepared by the Working Group on Smart Manufacturing Readiness Level set up by the Technical Committee on Smart Manufacturing under the purview of MSC.

This standard is identical with IEC 62264-5:2016, "Enterprise-control system integration – Part 5: Business to manufacturing transactions", published by the International Electrotechnical Commission.

NOTE – Reference to International Standards are replaced by applicable Singapore Standards and Technical References.

This standard is expected to be used by system integrators, government agencies, testing, inspection and certification bodies, professional institutions, institutes of higher learning and training providers.

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this Singapore Standard may be the subject of patent rights. Enterprise Singapore shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all of such patent rights.

NOTE

- Singapore Standards (SSs) and Technical References (TRs) are reviewed periodically to keep abreast of technical changes, technological developments and industry practices. The changes are documented through the issue of either amendments or revisions. Where SSs are deemed to be stable, i.e. no foreseeable changes in them, they will be classified as "Mature Standards". Mature Standards will not be subject to further review, unless there are requests to review such standards.
- 2. An SS or TR is voluntary in nature except when it is made mandatory by a regulatory authority. It can also be cited in contracts making its application a business necessity. Users are advised to assess and determine whether the SS or TR is suitable for their intended use or purpose. If required, they should refer to the relevant professionals or experts for advice on the use of the document. Enterprise Singapore and the Singapore Standards Council shall not be liable for any damages whether directly or indirectly suffered by anyone or any organisation as a result of the use of any SS or TR. Although care has been taken to draft this standard, users are also advised to ensure that they apply the information after due diligence.
- 3. Compliance with a SS or TR does not exempt users from any legal obligations.

INTERNATIONAL ELECTROTECHNICAL COMMISSION

ENTERPRISE-CONTROL SYSTEM INTEGRATION –

Part 5: Business to manufacturing transactions

FOREWORD

- 1) The International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) is a worldwide organization for standardization comprising all national electrotechnical committees (IEC National Committees). The object of IEC is to promote international co-operation on all questions concerning standardization in the electrical and electronic fields. To this end and in addition to other activities, IEC publishes International Standards, Technical Specifications, Technical Reports, Publicly Available Specifications (PAS) and Guides (hereafter referred to as "IEC Publication(s)"). Their preparation is entrusted to technical committees; any IEC National Committee interested in the subject dealt with may participate in this preparatory work. International, governmental and non-governmental organizations liaising with the IEC also participate in this preparation. IEC collaborates closely with the International Organization for Standardization (ISO) in accordance with conditions determined by agreement between the two organizations.
- 2) The formal decisions or agreements of IEC on technical matters express, as nearly as possible, an international consensus of opinion on the relevant subjects since each technical committee has representation from all interested IEC National Committees.
- 3) IEC Publications have the form of recommendations for international use and are accepted by IEC National Committees in that sense. While all reasonable efforts are made to ensure that the technical content of IEC Publications is accurate, IEC cannot be held responsible for the way in which they are used or for any misinterpretation by any end user.
- 4) In order to promote international uniformity, IEC National Committees undertake to apply IEC Publications transparently to the maximum extent possible in their national and regional publications. Any divergence between any IEC Publication and the corresponding national or regional publication shall be clearly indicated in the latter.
- 5) IEC itself does not provide any attestation of conformity. Independent certification bodies provide conformity assessment services and, in some areas, access to IEC marks of conformity. IEC is not responsible for any services carried out by independent certification bodies.
- 6) All users should ensure that they have the latest edition of this publication.
- 7) No liability shall attach to IEC or its directors, employees, servants or agents including individual experts and members of its technical committees and IEC National Committees for any personal injury, property damage or other damage of any nature whatsoever, whether direct or indirect, or for costs (including legal fees) and expenses arising out of the publication, use of, or reliance upon, this IEC Publication or any other IEC Publications.
- 8) Attention is drawn to the Normative references cited in this publication. Use of the referenced publications is indispensable for the correct application of this publication.
- 9) Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this IEC Publication may be the subject of patent rights. IEC shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

International Standard IEC 62264-5 has been prepared by subcommittee 65E: Devices and integration in enterprise systems, of IEC technical committee 65: Industrial-process measurement, control and automation and ISO SC5, JWG 5, of ISO technical committee 184: Automation systems and integration.

It is published as a double logo standard.

This second edition cancels and replaces the first edition published in 2011. This edition constitutes a technical revision.

This edition includes the following significant technical changes with respect to the previous edition:

The addition of transaction rules for objects defined in IEC 62264-4: Job, Job List, Job Response, Job Response List, Work Alert Definition, Work Alert, Work Calendar Definition,

Work Calendar, Work Capability Work Directive, Work Master, Work Performance, Work Record, Work Schedule, Workflow Specification Node Type, Workflow Specification.

The text is based on the following documents:

CDV	Report on voting
65E/459/CDV	65E/493/RVC

Full information on the voting for the approval of this standard can be found in the report on voting indicated in the above table. In ISO, the standard has been approved by [...] P members out of [...] having cast a vote.

This publication has been drafted in accordance with the ISO/IEC Directives, IEC 62264-2.

The list of all the parts of the IEC 62264 series, under the general title *Enterprise-control* system integration, can be found on the IEC website.

This publication has been drafted in accordance with the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2.

The committee has decided that the contents of this publication will remain unchanged until the stability date indicated on the IEC website under "http://webstore.iec.ch" in the data related to the specific publication. At this date, the publication will be

- · reconfirmed,
- withdrawn,
- · replaced by a revised edition, or
- amended.

IMPORTANT – The 'colour inside' logo on the cover page of this publication indicates that it contains colours which are considered to be useful for the correct understanding of its contents. Users should therefore print this document using a colour printer.

INTRODUCTION

This part of IEC 62264 is based on the use of IEC 62264 abstract models previously defined in IEC 62264-2 and IEC 62264-4 combined with verbs to define a transaction model for information exchange. It is recognized that other non-IEC 62264-5 transaction protocols are possible and are not deemed invalid as a result. Transactions occur at all levels within the enterprise and between enterprise partners, and are related to both required and actual activities, but the focus of this part of IEC 62264 is the interface between enterprise/business systems and manufacturing systems.

This standard defines transactions that are exchanged between Level 4 and Level 3, and within Level 3 as defined in the object models of IEC 62264-2 and IEC 62264-4. Models are introduced which provide descriptions of the transactions and explanations of the required transaction processing behaviour.

Technology specific implementations to provide this behaviour are not defined in this standard. This part of IEC 62264 has the intent of providing insight into the level of work required to construct transactional exchanges.

ENTERPRISE-CONTROL SYSTEM INTEGRATION -

Part 5: Business to manufacturing transactions

1 Scope

This part of IEC 62264 defines transactions in terms of information exchanges between applications performing business and manufacturing activities associated with Levels 3 and 4. The exchanges are intended to enable information collection, retrieval, transfer and storage in support of enterprise-control system integration. This part of IEC 62264 is consistent with the IEC 62264-2 and IEC 62264-4 object models attributes. This standard also defines transactions that specify how to exchange the objects defined in IEC 62264-2, IEC 62264-4 and this standard. Other uses of the transaction model are not defined in this part.

The models covered in this standard are:

- Personnel model
- Equipment model
- Physical asset model
- Material model
- Process segment model
- Operations capability model
- Operations definition mode
- Operations schedule model
- Operations performance model
- Resource relationship network model
- Work capability model
- Work definition model
- Work schedule model
- Job list model
- Work performance model
- Workflow specification model
- Work calendar
- Work record
- Work alert model

2 Normative references

The following documents, in whole or in part, are normatively referenced in this document and are indispensable for its application. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

IEC 62264-2:2013, Enterprise-control system integration – Part 2: Object and attributes for enterprise-control system integration

IEC 62264-3, Enterprise-control system integration – Part 3: Activity models of manufacturing operations management

IEC 62264-4, Enterprise-control system integration – Part 4: Object model attributes for manufacturing operations management integration

ISO/IEC 19501, Information technology – Open Distributed Processing – Unified Modeling Language (UML) Version 1.4.2

ISO 8601, Data elements and interchange formats – Information interchange – Representation of dates and times